Supplementary on-line material

HTLV-I spreads between lymphocytes by virus-induced polarization of the cytoskeleton

Tadahiko Igakura,^{1,4} Jane C. Stinchcombe,³ Peter K. C. Goon,¹ Graham P. Taylor,² Jonathan N. Weber,² Gillian M. Griffiths,³ Yuetsu Tanaka,⁵ Mitsuhiro Osame,⁴ Charles R. M. Bangham¹*

¹Departments of Immunology and ²Genito-Urinary Medicine and Communicable
Diseases, Imperial College London, St Mary's campus, Norfolk Place, London W2
1PG, UK. ³Sir William Dunn School of Pathology, University of Oxford, Oxford
OX1 3RE, UK. ⁴The Third Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine,
Kagoshima University, 8-35-1 Sakuragaoka, Kagoshima 890-8520 Japan.
⁵Department of Infectious Disease and Immunology, Okinawa-Asia Research Center
of Medical Science, Faculty of Medicine, University of the Ryukyus, Uehara-cho 207,
Nishihara, Okinawa 903-0215 Japan.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: c.bangham@imperial.ac.uk

Materials and methods

Patients and cells

Peripheral venous blood samples were donated by ten HTLV-I-infected subjects with a high proviral load of HTLV-I: nine with a clinical diagnosis of HAM/TSP, and one asymptomatic HTLV-I carrier. As controls, we used peripheral venous blood samples from healthy HTLV-I-seronegative subjects. All subjects were HIV-1 negative, and were free of other clinically evident infection at the time of sampling. All subjects gave informed consent. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were isolated on a Histopaque-1077 (Sigma) density gradient and washed three times with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). CD8⁺ and CD4⁺ T cell, B cell and NK cell microbead isolation kits (Miltenyi Biotec Ltd, Surrey, UK) were used according to the manufacturer's instructions to separate the respective PBMC subpopulations. Before conjugate formation, cells were cultured overnight at 1x10⁶ cells.mL⁻¹ in R/10 medium: RPMI 1640 (GIBCO, Paisley, UK) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS) (Sigma), 2 mM glutamine (GIBCO), 100 IU/ml penicillin (GIBCO), and 100 mg/ml streptomycin (GIBCO). In experiments to examine transfer of HTLV-I proteins to uninfected cells, CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells from the HTLV-I-infected individual were labelled with 0.5 microM carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester (CFSE) (Molecular Probes).

Antibodies

The following HTLV-I-specific monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) were used (*1*, *2*): mouse IgG2b anti-Gag p19 (clone GIN7) and rat IgG2a anti-Gag p19 (clone WAG19); rat IgG2a anti-Gag p15 (clone WAG15); rat IgG2a anti-Env gp46 (clone LAT27). The mouse anti-talin mAb was obtained from Sigma (Dorset, UK). The rat anti-tubulin alpha mAb was from Chemicon International (Temecula, California, USA), and the mouse anti-tubulin alpha mAb was from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Delaware Santa Cruz, California, USA). The Cy5-conjugated rat anti-human CD8 mAb was obtained from Molecular Probes (Eugene, Oregon, USA). The FITCconjugated mouse anti-human CD3 mAb was obtained from Santa Cruz (California, USA). Secondary antibodies conjugated to Texas Red and FITC were obtained from Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories (West Grove, Pennsylvania, USA), and Alexa Fluoro 488 and 568 were obtained from Molecular Probes.

Conjugate formation, immunofluorescence and confocal microscopy

Positively selected CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells, B cells and NK cells were incubated overnight at 37°C, widely dispersed in 10 cm diameter tissue culture dishes (at 0.5 $\times .10^{6}$ cells.mL⁻¹), to allow spontaneous expression of HTLV-I proteins (*29*). The cells were then washed in RPMI, and resuspended to a final concentration of ~5 $\times 10^{6}$ cells.mL⁻¹ in RPMI. To form cell-cell conjugates, the respective cells were mixed 1:1, then plated on to glass multiwell slides (HENDLEY-ESSEX, London, UK) and incubated at 37°C for 30 to 120 min. Samples were fixed with 100% methanol (precooled to –20 °C) for 5 min, or 2% paraformaldehyde for 17 min, washed extensively in PBS, blocked in 1% BSA-PBS, and processed for immunofluorescence. Primary antibodies were added in the presence of 1% BSA-PBS for 40 min and washed extensively in PBS, 1% BSA-PBS (*3*). Secondary antibodies were added in the presence of 1% BSA-PBS for 40 min, washed extensively in PBS and mounted in PBS containing 90% glycerol and 2.5% DABCO. Samples were

examined using a Bio-Rad Radiance 2000 MP laser scanning microscope, with lasers exciting at 488, 543, and 637 nm. For 3D and z-axis image reconstruction, 30-40 confocal sections, 0.3 - 0.4 micrometer apart, were taken and assembled using Confocal Assistant version 4.02 software or Adobe Photo Shop LE version 5. Some samples were examined using an Optronics Magnafire cooled CCD camera (Optronics, Goleta, CA, USA) on a Leitz fluorescence microscope; these images were displayed using Magnafire software (Optronics).

Inhibitor test for microtubule assembly

CD4⁺ T-cells were treated with 33nM nocodazole (Sigma) in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum for 90 min at 37°C (a treatment that depolymerizes the cytoplasmic microtubules). The cells were then washed and resuspended in medium containing 33 nM nocodazole. The CD4⁺ T-cells were then used for immunofluorescence studies of conjugates.

Peptide nucleic acid – fluorescence in-situ hybridization (PNA-FISH)

Cells were adhered to silanized glass slides (DAKO USA), then fixed in PBS containing 4% paraformaldehyde (Sigma) for 10 min, and endogenous biotin reactivity was blocked by Endogenous Biotin-Blocking Kit (Molecular Probes). PNA probes were synthesized by Boston Probes (USA). The structure of the antisense probe was as follows: 5'-Bio-OO-CGTAGGCTCAACATA-Lys(Bio)-3' and the structure of the sense probe was as follows: 5'-Bio-OO-TATGTTGAGCCTACG-Lys(Bio)-3'. The base sequence corresponds to nt 1184 to 1199 of the Gag region of the HTLV-I genome (GenBank accession # J02029). Hybridization solution (DAKO) containing biotin-conjugated PNA probe (1ng/microliter) was mounted on the glass slide and then incubated for 90 min at 46°C. The slide was washed twice with Stringent Wash Solution (DAKO) at 55°C for 20 min. Alexa Fluor 568 conjugated Streptavidin (Molecular Probes) was used to detect hybridized probes.

Figure S1



Figure S2







Legends to Figures

Figure S1. CD19⁺ B cells and CD56⁺ NK cells from an uninfected donor were allowed to form conjugates with CD4+ T cells from an HTLV-I-infected subject. When conjugated with autologous cells, both the B cells and the NK cells showed polarization of the Gag-staining material to the area of cell-cell contact (within 40 min) and subsequent transfer to the uninfected cell in the conjugate (within 120 min). However, the polarization was typically less complete in B-cell-T-cell and NK-cell-T-cell conjugates than in T-cell-T-cell conjugates (Fig. 1d-f, printed paper). As observed in CD4⁺ T cell and non-T-cell conjugates, the polarized Gag protein was found adjacent to the CD4⁺ T cell's microtubule organizing center (MTOC). **a**, **d**: Confocal images showing isolated (non-conjugated) B cell and NK cell from a patient with HAM/TSP, tubulin-alpha (green) and Gag p19 (red). **a**; B cell, **d**; NK cell.

b, **c**, **e**, and **f** ; Confocal images showing transfer of Gag p19 protein from HTLV-Iinfected T-cells to uninfected T-cells. Conjugation time 120 min; Gag p19 (red). HTLV-I-infected $CD4^+$ T-cells were counterstained with FITC-conjugated anti-CD3 antibody (green). The transmission picture (blue) is superimposed on a 0.3 micrometer confocal fluorescence single-section (red and green). **b**, **c**; Conjugate between HTLV-I-infected CD4⁺ T cell and normal B cell. **e**, **f**; Conjugate between HTLV-I-infected CD4⁺ T cell and normal NK cell. Scale bars represent 5 micrometers.

Figure S2. HTLV-I Gag protein and talin accumulate in distinct domains at the cellcell junction (**a**, **b**, **c**); the microtubule organizing centre lies adjacent to the polarized HTLV-I Gag protein at the cell-cell junction (**d**, **e**). Conjugates were allowed to form for 40 min. **a**, **b**, **c**: Confocal images showing HAM autologous T cell conjugates stained with mAbs against talin (green) and HTLV-I Gag p19 (red). **a**; CD4⁺-CD8⁺ T cell conjugate. **b**, **c**; CD4⁺-CD4⁺T cell conjugate. **d**, **e**: Confocal images showing HAM autologous T cell conjugates stained with mAbs against tubulin-alpha (green) and HTLV-I Gag p19 (red). **d**; CD4⁺ T cell conjugate. **e**; CD4⁺-CD8⁺ T cell conjugate. CD8⁺ T-cells were counterstained with Cy5-conjugated anti-CD8 antibody (blue). Scale bars represent 5 micrometers.

Figure S3. Schematic diagram of transfer of HTLV-I core complex at the cell-cell junction. The onset of HTLV-I provirus transcription may be spontaneous or stimulated by ligation of ICAM-1 or other adhesion molecules on the cell surface. The chief factor that limits the propagation of HTLV-I in vivo is the strong HTLV-I-specific cytotoxic T-lymphocyte response; antibody may also contribute some protection (*4*). Other references (*5-15*) are indicated on the Figure.

Table 1. HTLV-I-infected cells polarize their MTOCs to the cell-cell junction inCD4+ T-cell conjugates.

MTOC orientation	uninfected control subject	HTLV-I-infected subjects			
		subject 1		subject 2	
		Gag p19 ⁻	Gag p19 ⁺	Gag p19	Gag p19 ⁺
polarized (%)	79 (18.7)	85 (25.9)	163 (58.2)	45 (22.0)	59 (53.2)
not polarized (%)	322 (76.3)	217 (66.2)	111 (39.6)	160 (78.0)	52 (46.8)
not seen (%)	21 (5.0)	26 (7.9)	6 (2.1)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Total (%)	422 (100.0)	328 (100.0)	280 (99.9)	205 (100.0)	111 (100.0)
Odds ratio ^a (95% confidence interval) χ^2 (P << 0.001)	_	3.98 (2.83 - 5.61) $\chi^{2} = 63.9 (P << 0.001)$		$4.02 (2.45 - 6.64) \chi^2 = 30.4 (P << 0.001)$	
		subject 1 and subject 2 combined : 4.07 (3.07 - 5.39) $\chi^2 = 99.0 (P << 0.001)$			

Two experiments were performed, each with fresh ex vivo CD4⁺ T cells from an unrelated HTLV-I-infected subject. Conjugates were allowed to form for 30min (subject 1) or 60min (subject 2), then fixed and stained for HTLV-I Gag p19 (red

color) and tubulin alpha (green color). Only conjugates containing two cells were counted. The figures denote the number (percentage) of cells whose MTOC was polarized to the cell-cell junction. Odds ratio^a of MTOC polarization in Gag $p19^+$ cells, comparing the numbers of polarized MTOCs with (polarized + not seen) MTOCs.

References

- 1. B. Lee, Y. Tanaka, H. Tozawa, *Tohoku J Exp Med* **157**, 1. (1989).
- 2. Y. Tanaka et al., Int J Cancer 37, 35. (1986).
- 3. J. C. Stinchcombe, G. Bossi, S. Booth, G. M. Griffiths, *Immunity* **15**, 751. (2001).
- 4. Y. Tanaka *et al.*, *J Virol* **68**, 6323. (1994).
- 5. E. Hanon *et al.*, *Blood* **95**, 1386. (2000).
- 6. A. Yamamoto, H. Hara, T. Kobayashi, *J Neurol Sci* **151**, 121. (1997).
- L. Delamarre, A. R. Rosenberg, C. Pique, D. Pham, M. C. Dokhelar, *J Virol* 71, 259. (1997).
- 8. D. Derse, S. A. Hill, P. A. Lloyd, H. Chung, B. A. Morse, *J Virol* **75**, 8461. (2001).
- 9. K. W. Wucherpfennig, P. Hollsberg, J. H. Richardson, D. Benjamin, D. A. Hafler, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **89**, 2110. (1992).
- 10. J. E. Hildreth, A. Subramanium, R. A. Hampton, *J Virol* 71, 1173. (1997).
- A. Al-Fahim, P. Cabre, L. Kastrukoff, K. Dorovini-Zis, J. Oger, *Cell Immunol* 198, 1. (1999).
- 12. H. Valentin et al., J Virol 71, 8522. (1997).
- 13. E. Hanon *et al.*, *Blood* **98**, 721. (2001).
- 14. C. R. Bangham, Curr Opin Immunol 12, 397. (2000).
- 15. K. J. Jeffery *et al.*, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **96**, 3848. (1999).